

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
EASTERN DIVISION

OHIO CASUALTY INSURANCE )  
COMPANY, )

Plaintiff, )

v. )

CASE NO. 3:06-cv-977-MEF

MANIFOLD CONSTRUCTION, LLC, )  
*et al.*, )

Defendants. )

**ORDER**

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's *Motion for Extension of Time to File Discovery Responses* (Doc. 31, filed August 28, 2007) and Defendants' *Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time to File Discovery Responses* (Doc. 32, filed August 28, 2007). Upon review of the motion and response, it is, for good cause,

**ORDERED** the *Motion for Extension of Time to File Discovery Responses* (Doc. 31) is **GRANTED**. Plaintiff is reminded that it is the Court's policy that discovery issues should first be discussed with opposing counsel prior to filing a motion with the Court. *See* Doc. 29, Uniform Scheduling Order § 9. In the future, any such motions filed without having first consulted opposing counsel will be denied outright.

DONE this 30th day of August, 2007.

/s/Terry F. Moorner  
TERRY F. MOORER  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE